

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,363	03/08/2002 David Coates		David Coates	MERCK 2389	4623
23599	7590	01/28/2005		EXAM	INER
MILLEN, W	HITE, Z	ZELANO & BRAN	CALEY, MICHAEL H		
2200 CLARE	NDON B	LVD.			<u> </u>
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 2	2201		2871	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/088,363	COATES ET AL.					
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael H. Caley	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 13, and 14</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		1 /1					
10. Other:		Julie					
		DINIOTIN					
		DUNGT: NGUYEN: PRIMARY EXAMINE!-					
		* ***					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Details of the chemical structure of the O plate retarder have not been considered in a previous search. A new search and significant further consideration is necessary for proper examination of the new claims. Applicant's reasoning for determining that no additional searching is necessary is unclear to the Examiner.

It is further noted that significant revisions were made in the previous amendment to the claims, which necessitated a new search and yielded new pertinent references at the time of the Final Office Action.